



The 19th International Scientific Conference
**“DEFENSE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
IN THE 21st CENTURY”**
Braşov, November 7th-8th 2024



**A POTENTIAL NATO WITHOUT USA – IMPLICATIONS,
CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES**

TĂBLEȚ Cezar – Marius

Ministry of Defense, Romania

Abstract:

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) has been viewed as a key component of global security, enabling member states to defend themselves collectively. The hypothetical scenario of NATO without the United States is a significant challenge, carries profound implications, and also offers certain opportunities. This essay will explore the multifaceted dimensions of such a scenario, analyzing the challenges NATO would face, the implications for its member states and global security, as well as the potential opportunities for adaptation and evolution for the other member states.

Key words: geopolitics; NATO; scenarios; challenges; opportunities

1. Introduction

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) has been viewed as a key component of global security, enabling member states to defend themselves collectively. The hypothetical scenario of NATO without the United States is a significant challenge, carries profound implications, and also offers certain opportunities. This essay will explore the multifaceted dimensions of such a scenario, analyzing the challenges NATO would face, the implications for its member states and global security, as well as the potential opportunities for adaptation and evolution for the other member states.

[1] The Geopolitical shifts and security concerns

The United States' withdrawal from NATO would create a significant strategic void in the alliance's defence capabilities.

The U.S. military provides NATO with advanced weaponry, intelligence assets, and logistical support, which are critical for maintaining deterrence against potential adversaries. Without these capabilities, NATO's ability to project power and defend its member states would be severely compromised. This would create a strategic imbalance in favour of potential adversaries, such as Russia, who may perceive NATO as weaker and more vulnerable to coercion or aggression.

NATO's strength lies in its collective defence posture and the credibility of its deterrent capabilities. The absence of the United States, NATO's primary military power, would significantly weaken the alliance's deterrence posture. Potential adversaries could exploit this perceived weakness to challenge NATO's resolve and test its defence capabilities. This could lead to increased military tensions and potential conflicts in strategically important regions.

Furthermore, from a strategic standpoint, a weakened NATO could have broader implications for global stability. NATO's operations and partnerships extend beyond the Euro-Atlantic region,



The 19th International Scientific Conference
“DEFENSE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
IN THE 21st CENTURY”
Braşov, November 7th-8th 2024



playing a crucial role in maintaining global security and stability. The loss of U.S. military capabilities could undermine NATO's ability to address security challenges in regions such as the Middle East, North Africa, and the Asia-Pacific. This could lead to increased volatility, regional conflicts, and power vacuums that could be exploited by hostile actors or terrorist organizations.

The withdrawal of the United States from NATO would strain transatlantic relations and undermine strategic partnerships. NATO has long been the cornerstone of the transatlantic alliance, symbolizing the shared values and interests between North America and Europe. The loss of U.S. leadership could lead to mistrust and resentment among European allies, who may feel abandoned or betrayed by their closest strategic partner. This could hinder cooperation on critical security issues and weaken NATO's ability to respond effectively to emerging threats.

Nevertheless, this perception could undermine confidence in U.S. commitments to uphold global security and stability. It might also embolden adversaries, who may see an opportunity to expand their influence or challenge international norms without fear of U.S. intervention. This could lead to increased strategic competition and instability in regions of strategic importance to the United States.

The financial burden of maintaining NATO's collective defence capabilities could strain national budgets and hinder strategic investments in critical defence capabilities. European NATO members, in particular, may struggle to increase defence spending to compensate for the loss of U.S. contributions (see annex 1). This could lead to a decline in military readiness and capabilities, reducing NATO's ability to deter potential adversaries and respond to emerging security challenges.

The strategic implications of reduced defence spending could extend beyond NATO member states. A decline in military capabilities among NATO allies could weaken the alliance's overall deterrence posture, making it more vulnerable to external threats. This could embolden adversaries and increase the likelihood of military conflicts or crises in strategically important regions, including even NATO member's territory.

A potential NATO without USA could also have broader economic ramifications. NATO member states are major contributors to the global economy, and any disruptions to their security or stability could have ripple effects worldwide. A decline in transatlantic trade, investment, and economic cooperation could weaken global economic growth and stability. This could undermine efforts to address pressing global challenges, such as poverty, inequality, and climate change, which require coordinated international action and cooperation.

The United States' withdrawal from NATO could undermine the multilateral institutions and norms that underpin the rules-based international order. NATO has played a crucial role in promoting stability, democracy, and cooperation among its member states. The loss of U.S. strategic direction could weaken NATO's ability to uphold these values and principles, leading to increased strategic competition and conflict. This could undermine efforts to address pressing global challenges, such as terrorism, nuclear proliferation, and pandemics, which require immediate and collective action.

A weakened NATO could lead to increased fragmentation and polarization within the international community. Without U.S. conducting role, countries may pursue divergent security strategies and alliances, leading again to internal competition between NATO remaining members.



The 19th International Scientific Conference
“DEFENSE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
IN THE 21st CENTURY”
Braşov, November 7th-8th 2024



This could create strategic vacuums that could be exploited by hostile actors or revisionist powers, leading to increased tensions and conflicts in different regions all over the world. A more fragmented international system could undermine global stability and security, with potential implications for U.S. interests and security itself.

Such an unexpected withdraw could also affect global governance mechanisms and institutions because NATO has played a crucial role in shaping international norms and institutions, such as the United Nations, and promoting democratic values and human rights.

The United States' withdrawal from NATO could lead to a decline in U.S. influence and credibility on the world stage. NATO has been a force multiplier for U.S. foreign policy objectives, enabling the United States to project power and promote its strategic interests globally. Without NATO, the United States may struggle to maintain its leadership role and rally international support for its initiatives. This could weaken America's influence and credibility, reducing its ability to shape global events and advance its strategic interests.

The unwanted, but inevitable road to a policy of isolationism could have far-reaching consequences for U.S. interests and security. A U.S. withdrawal from NATO could lead to a more isolationist foreign policy stance, with the United States focusing inward and prioritizing domestic concerns over international engagement. This could lead to a reduction in America's global footprint and a retreat from its traditional role as a global leader and guarantor of security and stability. From a strategic perspective, increased isolationism could undermine America's ability to address pressing global challenges and protect its interests abroad.

The absence of NATO's collective defence guarantees could expose the United States to increased security threats. Without the deterrence provided by NATO, potential adversaries might perceive America as more vulnerable and emboldened to challenge its interests. From this point of view, a more unstable and insecure international environment could undermine America's security and stability, with potential implications for U.S. interests and strategic objectives.

The security of many European NATO members heavily relies on the U.S. security umbrella, which provides deterrence against potential adversaries and reassurance in times of crisis. A U.S. withdrawal would necessitate a fundamental reassessment of European security strategies, as these countries would no longer be able to rely on U.S. military capabilities and commitments. European nations would need to take on more responsibility for their own defence, including developing the necessary military capabilities and infrastructure to ensure their security independently.

European NATO members would need to rebalance their defence priorities to focus on deterring potential threats from actors like Russia and addressing emerging security challenges such as cyber warfare, hybrid threats, and terrorism. This may require reallocating defence budgets, restructuring armed forces, and investing in advanced military technologies.

With the absence of U.S. military support, European nations would need to develop robust crisis management capabilities to respond effectively to security crises within the region. This includes strengthening rapid response forces, improving interoperability among national militaries, and enhancing intelligence-sharing mechanisms to address security threats in a timely and coordinated manner.



The 19th International Scientific Conference
“DEFENSE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
IN THE 21st CENTURY”
Braşov, November 7th-8th 2024



While European NATO members have made progress in defence integration through initiatives like the European Union's Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) and the European Defence Fund (EDF), significant challenges remain. A U.S. withdrawal could disrupt ongoing efforts to strengthen European defence cooperation and deepen integration, posing obstacles to achieving true defence autonomy.

Achieving greater defence integration requires overcoming political and institutional hurdles, as each European nation has its own defence policies, procurement processes, and national interests. European governments would need to navigate complex bureaucratic structures and negotiate.

European militaries are heavily reliant on U.S. defence technologies, equipment, and systems. A U.S. withdrawal could disrupt supply chains and create technological dependencies, making it challenging for European nations to maintain their defence capabilities. European defence industries would need to accelerate efforts to develop indigenous technologies and reduce reliance on U.S. imports.

The United States plays a central role in NATO's efforts to combat terrorism, providing crucial intelligence, military assets, and expertise. A U.S. withdrawal would significantly weaken NATO's counterterrorism capabilities, leaving member states more vulnerable to terrorist threats.

Furthermore, United States is a key contributor to NATO's intelligence-sharing mechanisms, providing valuable information on terrorist networks, activities, and threats. Without U.S. intelligence support, NATO may struggle to collect, analyze, and disseminate actionable intelligence to member states, hindering their ability to detect and disrupt terrorist plots.

Very close linked, it has to be mentioned that U.S. military possesses advanced capabilities for conducting counterterrorism operations, including special operations forces, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), and precision-guided munitions. These assets are often deployed in support of NATO's counterterrorism missions, such as Operation Resolute Support in Afghanistan. A U.S. withdrawal would deprive NATO of these critical military capabilities, reducing its ability to conduct targeted strikes against terrorist targets and support partner forces on the ground.

The United States provides training, mentoring, and capacity-building assistance to NATO partners and allies in regions affected by terrorism, such as the Middle East and North Africa. U.S. military personnel also offer expertise in areas such as counterinsurgency, counter-IED (improvised explosive device) operations, and counter-radicalization efforts. Without U.S. support, NATO may struggle to train and equip partner forces effectively, undermining their ability to combat terrorism locally and regionally.

Hybrid threats, which combine conventional military tactics with non-military means such as cyber attacks, disinformation campaigns, and proxy warfare, pose significant challenges to NATO's security. The United States is a leader in cyber security capabilities and plays a central role in protecting NATO's networks and critical infrastructure from cyber attacks. This is the reason why NATO will be much more vulnerable in front of cyber defences threats, and the risk of cyber attacks targeting NATO member states, government institutions, and critical infrastructure will be almost impossible to be addressed at list on short and medium term.

Nevertheless, Russia has been increasingly using disinformation campaigns and influence operations to undermine NATO cohesion, sow discord among member states, and weaken public



The 19th International Scientific Conference
“DEFENSE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
IN THE 21st CENTURY”
Braşov, November 7th-8th 2024



trust in democratic institutions. The United States has expertise in countering disinformation and conducts strategic communications campaigns to counter Russian propaganda. Without U.S. support, NATO may struggle to effectively respond to disinformation and influence operations, leaving member states more susceptible to manipulation and destabilization.

NATO's ability to adapt to evolving security threats is essential for maintaining its relevance and effectiveness. A U.S. withdrawal could undermine NATO's credibility and diminish its capacity to address 21st-century security challenges. In this regard, the Alliance must adapt its strategies, structures, and capabilities to address emerging security threats, including terrorism and hybrid warfare.

NATO's capacity to protect member states from security threats directly affects its relevance to member states. If NATO is perceived as unable to address emerging security challenges effectively, member states may question the value of the alliance and seek alternative security arrangements. The global security environment is evolving rapidly, with new threats and challenges emerging in regions around the world. NATO must remain agile and adaptable to address these challenges, but a U.S. withdrawal could hamper NATO's ability to respond effectively to evolving security dynamics.

In contemplating a NATO without the United States, one of the most compelling prospects lies in the potential for increased unity among European nations. This essay delves into the various dimensions of how such a scenario could foster a stronger sense of cohesion and collaboration within Europe.

At the heart of this opportunity is the empowerment of European nations within NATO. Historically, the US has held a dominant position in the alliance, often steering its direction and decision-making. However, with the US no longer the central figure, European countries would find themselves with greater agency in shaping NATO's policies and priorities. This shift in power dynamics would undoubtedly lead to a newfound sense of ownership over European security affair.

With this empowerment comes a natural inclination towards strengthened cohesion among European nations. Without the US overshadowing discussions, European countries would be more inclined to work together in pursuit of common goals. The shared understanding of the importance of collective security would deepen, fostering a sense of solidarity among member states. This cohesion could extend beyond military matters to encompass political, economic, and diplomatic cooperation, creating a more integrated and cohesive Europe.

A key driver of increased European unity within a NATO without the US would be the promotion of greater collaboration and integration. European countries would likely invest more in joint defence projects, recognizing the need to pool resources and capabilities. This could include initiatives such as joint military exercises, defence industry cooperation, and the development of collective defence mechanisms. The result would be a more interoperable and standardized European defence force, capable of addressing a wide range of security challenges effectively.

Without the US as a central figure in NATO, European nations would have the opportunity to prioritize security challenges that are more immediate and pertinent to the continent. The absence of US involvement could lead to a more focused approach on European-specific threats, such as Russian aggression in Eastern Europe, terrorism, and cyber-security vulnerabilities.



The 19th International Scientific Conference
“DEFENSE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
IN THE 21st CENTURY”
Braşov, November 7th-8th 2024



The absence of US involvement in NATO could lead to more targeted and effective responses to European security challenges. European nations would have greater freedom to tailor their defence strategies to address specific threats facing the region

European nations would find themselves compelled to address regional challenges with greater urgency. The ongoing conflict in Ukraine and the annexation of Crimea by Russia have been persistent concerns for European security. With the US out of NATO, European countries would need to take a more proactive role in deterring further Russian aggression and safeguarding the sovereignty of Eastern European nations.

Furthermore, the absence of US influence in NATO could pave the way for greater cooperation between NATO and the European Union (EU) in addressing common security challenges. European nations could leverage the EU's diplomatic and economic tools to complement NATO's military capabilities, leading to a more holistic approach to European security.

With the US no longer part of NATO, European nations would have greater freedom to pursue foreign policies that align more closely with their own interests and values. Historically, US interests and priorities have heavily influenced NATO's decision-making process, sometimes to the detriment of European objectives. However, with the US out of the picture, European countries could assert themselves more assertively on the diplomatic stage.

European nations could develop foreign policies that reflect their unique geopolitical positions and national interests. For example, countries like Germany and France could take a more active role in mediating conflicts in their respective regions, such as the Ukraine crisis or the conflicts in the Middle East. Similarly, smaller European nations could pursue niche diplomatic initiatives that cater to their specific strengths and priorities.

Without the constraints of US influence, European nations could engage with global powers such as China, Russia, and emerging regional actors on their own terms. Historically, European countries have often had to navigate their relationships with these powers within the framework of US-led diplomacy. However, a NATO without the US would provide European nations with the opportunity to redefine these relationships based on their own interests and priorities.

Moreover the economic ties with China may be strengthened, while also addressing concerns about human rights and global trade imbalances. They could also engage with Russia on issues such as energy security and regional stability, without being constrained by US-Russian tensions. Moreover, European nations could play a more active role in regional organizations such as the African Union or the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), fostering greater cooperation and influence beyond their immediate neighborhood.

The absence of the US from NATO could also create new diplomatic opportunities for European nations on the global stage. European countries could lead diplomatic efforts to address pressing global challenges such as climate change, migration, and pandemics. For example, European nations could take the lead in negotiating international climate agreements or coordinating humanitarian responses to global crises.

Moreover, European countries could strengthen their diplomatic ties with traditional US allies, such as Canada, Japan, and Australia, independent of US influence. This could lead to



The 19th International Scientific Conference
“DEFENSE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT
IN THE 21st CENTURY”
Braşov, November 7th-8th 2024



increased cooperation on issues of mutual interest, such as security, trade, and development assistance.

2. Conclusions

A NATO without the USA would face significant challenges, including reduced military capability, financial burdens, and the need for new strategic and operational frameworks. However, it would also present opportunities for European strategic autonomy, enhanced cooperation, and a more focused regional security approach. The success of such a transition would depend on the willingness and ability of European NATO members to invest in their defence, adapt to new leadership dynamics, and maintain political cohesion. Ultimately, while a NATO without the USA would be fundamentally different, it could still play a vital role in ensuring European and transatlantic security through innovation, adaptation, and strengthened European cooperation.

References:

- [2] Hans Binnendijk, R. D. Hooker, Jr., and Alexander Vershbow, NATO Cannot Survive Without America If Trump Pulls Out, the Alliance Would Likely Fall Apart, 2024, <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/nato-cannot-survive-without-america>
- [3] Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, Harvard Kennedy School, NATO at Seventy: An Alliance in Crisis, <https://www.belfercenter.org/publication/nato-seventy-alliance-crisis>
- [4] Przemyslaw Lukasik, NATO Without the USA: How Long Will the Alliance Survive Without American Leadership?, in NATO and the Future of European and Asian Security, 2021, <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/nato-without-the-usa/286732>
- [5] A New Vision for the Transatlantic Alliance: The Future of European Security, the United States, and the World Order after Russia’s War in Ukraine
- [6] Alina Polyakova, Edward Lucas, Mathieu Boulègue, Catherine Sendak, Scott Kindsvater, Ivanna Kuz, and Sasha Stone, The equation is simple. Europe is not secure if Ukraine is not secure, and the United States is not secure if Europe is not secure., 2023, <https://cepa.org/comprehensive-reports/a-new-vision-for-the-transatlantic-alliance-the-future-of-european-security-the-united-states-and-the-world-order-after-russias-war-in-ukraine/>
- [7] Constantinescu, Maria, „Challenges of defining a country’s military power”, Journal of Defence Resources Management, vol 11, ed. 2 (21), 2020, ISSN: 2068-9403, eISSN: 2247-6466, ISSN-L: 2247-6466, pg. 32-39
- [8] <https://ukdefencejournal.org.uk/without-the-usa-would-nato-still-win/>
- [9] Sean Monaghan, Eskil Jakobsen, Sissy Martinez, Mathieu Droin, Gregory Sanders, Nicholas Velazquez, Cynthia Cook, Anna Dowd, and Maeve Sockwell, Is NATO Ready for War?, 2024